بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

The "Consensuses" of the Scholars of the Tawaghit

The media affiliated with the tawaghit of Qatar and Turkey, and the parties and organizations loyal to them, were buzzing over the news of the attack of the "scholars of the taghut" in the Land of the Two Holy Mosques on the murtadd Brotherhood group. They denounced that, recalling the previous relationship between the murtadd Brotherhood and the tawaghit of Al Sa'ud, who supported them for decades in the face of the nationalist kufr regimes in some Arab countries, and employed them to challenge the mujahidin and prevent Muslims from supporting them.

It is a secret to noone that the attack on the murtadd Brotherhood by the tawaghit of Al Sa'ud, and the corresponding attack by them on the tawaghit of the Two Holy Mosques and the Emirates - although it is sometimes tinted with fatwas and counter-fatwas - is nothing more than political rivalries between stage opponents! Their statements and fatwas will soon alter with the changing winds of regional and international politics.

But this position into which they placed themselves, reminds us of what happened years ago when they all unified against the Islamic State. It happened when all the tawaghit of the region surrounding Iraq and Sham feared that the muwahiddin would break the borders and overturn their thrones. And when all the deviant parties and organizations feared that the declaration of the Khilafah would remove their existence and push them to disintegrate with the demise of their alleged reason for existence, which is the call for the arbitration of shari'ah and the restoration of the Khilafah, at that time, harmony and unity prevailed among them. Everyone forgot about the political and contractual conflicts between them, and they issued consistent and even joint statements in which they permitted the shedding of the blood of the Khilafah soldiers, allowed the taking of their money, and the disgracing of their honor.

It was one of the jokes of what happened at that time, which the fools keep repeating every now and then: their claim that branding the soldiers of the Islamic State as Khawarij is something "agreed upon by Muslims," and approved by those they called "scholars"!! Hence, it is not correct for them to disagree with it, forgetting the fact that such "consensuses" take place when the tawaghit and Crusaders agree, and they are dismissed when they disagree.

If the tawaghit of Al Sa'ud today wanted a fatwa against the murtadd Brotherhood and Qatar, they would have been able to do it, in a day and night. They would have been able to mobilize for it hundreds or even thousands of bad scholars affiliated with them, from various countries of the world, to form a "consensus" against their enemies. On the other hand, if the tawaghit of Turkey and Qatar wanted, at any moment, to form a "consensus" against their opponents, they would have gathered in a conference all their followers to issue a statement or fatwa signed by hundreds of bad scholars from different countries, and promote its binding on all Muslims.

Then, if the two parties reconciled or felt a common threat, they throw all these fatwas and statements in the trash to extract them when they quarrel again. This is how the tawaghit manipulate religion in the hands of their bad scholars.

From these methods came all the fatwas and statements inciting against the Islamic State and its soldiers, which were supervised by the Crusader intelligence services and others affiliated with the tawaghit, even if some of them were issued by parties claiming hostility to each of them.

When some of the donkeys of knowledge in Jordan who support the Sahawat of Sham differed among themselves, we saw how they exposed each other and revealed that the intelligence of the tawaghit of Jordan was directing them to brand the Islamic State as Khawarij, and not by any other class of false descriptions and epithets with which they are trying to distort the image of the Muslim group. That was to prove that some of the fatwas of what they call the "jihadist movement" were also issued under the orders and directives of the tawaghit intelligence. This was confirmed by subsequent events, when some of them tried to deviate from the line set by the tawaghit, to stay outside of their prisons, by attacking some of the Sahawat parties. They were instructed to stop speaking absolutely on any matter, as long as they deviate from the "mission" that was assigned to them, as well as to their murtadd Brotherhood.

Such false consensuses made by the tawaghit and their followers have been witnessed many times, and perhaps one of the most famous in the modern era is what happened with the Najdi call and its imam, Shaykh Muhammad bin 'Abdul-Wahhab (may Allah have mercy on him). The tawaghit of the Bani 'Uthman and their followers in Egypt hated his fight against shirk and its people. They saw this as a threat to their rule that guarded shirk and mushrikin, so they mobilized their followers, among the scholars of evil and advocates of fitna from Sufism and the extremists of taqlid, for his war. They challenged his religion and belief, describing him as Kharijiyya, extremist, and other false accusations that we hear today, to turn people away from that blessed call, and to strengthen the pagans of the graves and the Rafida. So, they made of their wrong judgments and stray fatwas "consensuses" that their followers still talk about to this day. They used them to permit the shedding of their blood and money and to destroy their cities, as they did in "Ad-Dir'iyah," may Allah curse them and those who followed their path until the Day of Judgment.

This is one of the aspects of the catastrophe of Muslims in this time. Whenever a taghut desires something, he will collect a group of his "scholars" whom he employs for this purpose and writes them a fatwa that they sign, to be promoted by the intelligence services and media affiliated with it as "the consensus of Muslim scholars". And for such a purpose they are set up in the name of Islamic scholars. For the same reason, "unions," "councils," and "groups" were established inthe name of Muslims scholars. And each party is happy with what it gets.

Our Lord, may He be glorified, kept the call of tawhid pure, and innocent of what the people of innovation had thrown at all times. He raised the status of its people and their rank in the Worlds, and removed from them what they used to be oppressed with. So, where is the stance of Imam Ahmad (may Allah have mercy on him) today, compared to the people of innovation who consecrated and renounced his religion?!

Where is the stance of Imam Ibn Taymiyyah (may Allah have mercy on him) compared to the stance of those who imprisoned him and shed his blood?! And where is the stance of Imam Muhammad bin 'Abdul-Wahhab (may Allah have mercy on him) compared to the stance of those who labeled him with extremism and Kharijiyya and shed the blood of his followers?!

And let the people know, after a while, the position of the soldiers of the Islamic State compared to the position of their enemies and opponents, among the people of misguidance as a whole. And all praise is due to Allah, the Lord of all creation.